

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,793	0	2/04/2004	Jong-Kwon Kim	5000-1-503	3354
33942	7590	11/14/2006		EXAMINER	
CHA & RE 210 ROUTE			PASCAL, I	PASCAL, LESLIE C	
PARAMUS			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2613	

DATE MAILED: 11/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5/
/1

	Application No.	on No. Applicant(s)					
Office Action Cummon.	10/771,793	KIM ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Leslie Pascal	2613					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIF, TY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 M	av 2006						
	action is non-final.						
, <u> </u>	,						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	coloction requirement						
are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acce) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		·					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Denotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date Other:							
	· -						

Art Unit: 2613

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 3 and 8 recite the limitation "the optical band-pass filter" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 7-11 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyazaki et al (6331908).

In figure 9, Miyazaki et al teach a demultiplexer (80), a multiplexer (82), plural amplifiers (84) between the demultiplexer and multiplexer and plural beam splitters (66). Although he does not specifically teach that his amplifiers in this embodiment are semiconductor, it would have been obvious to use semiconductor amplifiers since they are small and can be made of the same elements as the fiber. In other embodiments, he specifies semiconductor laser amplifiers. In regard to the filter of claim 2, see figure 3. In regard to the isolator, it is well known to use an isolator in optical communications in order to avoid reflections entering a device. It would have been obvious to use an isolator in order to avoid noise from reflections entering the multiplexer. Although he

Art Unit: 2613

does not specifically use the isolator in the same place, he teaches using isolators in order to avoid reflections, which add noise. Miyazaki et al teach that his devices can be AWG's.

5. Claims 6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyazaki et al (6331908) as applied to claims 1-5 and 7-12 above, and further in view of Kim et al (5524118).

Kim et al teach a system that is similar to Miyazaki. He teaches that it is well known to use a 1XN coupler in order to multiplex the signals. It would have been obvious to use the 1XN coupler of Kim in the system of Miyazaki et al since the coupler is simpler and provides the same function. It should be noted that Kim et al teach isolators that teach avoiding reflections.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leslie Pascal whose telephone number is 571-272-3032. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on 571-272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,793

Art Unit: 2613

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

hashie Pascul

Leslie Pascal Primary Examiner Art Unit 2613